AVAILABLE AT PUBLIC TERMINAL FOR VIEWING ONLY

1 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 2 BEFORE THE HONORABLE ANTHONY J. BATTAGLIA, JUDGE PRESIDING 3 4) CASE NO. 13-MD-02452-AJB 5 IN RE INCRETIN-BASED THERAPIES PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION 6 7 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA JANUARY 16, 2014 8 10:04 A.M. 9 THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO ALL CASES) 10 11 12 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 13 STATUS CONFERENCE 14 15 16 17 18 19 2.0 21 22 ALSO PRESENT: HONORABLE WILLIAM HIGHBERGER HONORABLE MITCHELL DEMBIN 23 24 OFFICIAL REPORTER: JEANNETTE N. HILL, C.S.R. 25 (619) 702-3905

1	APPEARANCES: FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:	MICHAEL S BERG	
2			
3	TELEPHONICALLY:	MICHAEL S. GOETZ	
4		ANDY JOHNSON RAMON R. LOPEZ	
5		KENNETH PEARSON SHIREEN MOHSTNZADEAGAN MICHAEL K. JOHNSON	
6		TOR HOERMAN	
7		JACOB W. PLATTENBERGER GAYLE M. BLATT	
8		SHAYNA E. SACKS THOMAS D. HAKLAR NEAL L. MOSKOW	
9		NICHOLAS J. DRAKULICH LERAE HANCOCK	
10		SIN-TING MARY LIU	
11		HUNTER J. SHKOLNIK MARC J. BERN	
12		JAMES R. TRIPP SEGARS, III THOMAS J. PREUSS	
1 2		JULIA REED ZAIC	
13		DAE YEOL LEE JOHN M. RESTAINO	
14		ANDRE SHERMAN	
15		ELIZABETH LANE CROOKE	
		DAE YEOL LEE JOHN M. RESTAINO ANDRE SHERMAN ELIZABETH LANE CROOKE BRIAN DEPEW RAYMOND PARKER WHITE TIMOTHY BROWN ANDREW SETH HARRIS DAVID MCMASTER JOSEPH WAECHTER	
16		TIMOTHY BROWN ANDREW SETH HARRIS	
17		DAVID MCMASTER	
18		JOSEPH WAECHTER	
19	FOR THE DEFENDANTS:	MAXWELL S. KENNERLY	
20		VICKIE E. TURNER DOUGLAS R. MARVIN	
21		PAUL E. BOEHM CHRISTOPHER M. YOUNG	
22		LOREN H. BROWN RAYMOND M. WILLIAMS	
23		HEIDI LEVINE NINA M. GUSSACK	
		KENNETH KING	
24		STEPHEN P. SWINTON RICHARD B. GOETZ	
25		RYAN L. THOMPSON	
		TANIJARY 16. 2014	

1	SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA; THURSDAY, JANUARY 16, 2014; 9:47 A.M.
2	THE COURT: WELL, GOOD MORNING TO ALL OF YOU. I AM
3	GOING TO READ THE ROLL SHEET THAT I HAVE FOR PURPOSES OF THIS
4	CONFERENCE CALL, TO IDENTIFY THOSE ON THE LINE. AND THEN I
5	WILL GO BACK AND IDENTIFY SO THAT THERE IS A RECORD SOMEWHERE
6	OF THOSE THAT PARTICIPATED IN THE INFORMAL CHAMBERS CONFERENCE
7	THIS MORNING.
8	SO TAKING IT FROM THE TOP, JUDGE HIGHBERGER, ARE YOU
9	ON THE LINE FOR THIS CONFERENCE?
10	JUDGE HIGHBERGER: HERE, WITH APOLOGIES IN ADVANCE
11	THAT I WILL HAVE TO LEAVE AT 10:30.
12	THE COURT: OKAY. WE MAY BE WRAPPED UP BY THEN.
13	WE'LL SEE. IT DEPENDS ON HOW LONG THIS LIST IS.
14	MIKE COMER, ARE YOU PRESENT?
15	HEARING NONE.
16	SCOTT EDSON.
17	MR. EDSON: YES, YOUR HONOR.
18	THE COURT: NEAL ELLIOTT, JR.
19	MR. ELLIOTT: YES, SIR. HERE.
20	THE COURT: MICHAEL GOETZ?
21	MR. GOETZ: YES, SIR. HERE.
22	THE COURT: ANDY JOHNSON?
23	NOPE.
24	RAMON LOPEZ?
25	MR. LOPEZ: HERE, YOUR HONOR.

1	THE COURT: THANK YOU.
2	MAX KENNERLY.
3	HOW ABOUT NEIL OVERHOLTZ?
4	IS THAT A HERE OR SOMEBODY COUGHING?
5	MR. JOHNSON: SORRY. YOUR HONOR, ANDY JOHNSON. I'M
6	HERE. I JUST HAD MY PHONE ON MUTE.
7	THE COURT: GOT YOU, MR. JOHNSON. THANK YOU.
8	TED PARR.
9	NO.
10	KENNETH PEARSON.
11	MR. PEARSON: HERE, YOUR HONOR.
12	THE COURT: PAUL STEVENS.
13	MS. MOHSTNZADEGAN: YOUR HONOR, GOOD MORNING. THIS
14	IS SHIREEN MOHSTNZADEGAN. I AM SUBSTITUTING IN THIS MORNING
15	FOR PAUL STEVENS.
16	THE COURT: OKAY. VERY GOOD. CAN YOU SPELL THE LAST
17	NAME FOR ME?
18	MS. MOHSTNZADEGAN: I SURE CAN. IT'S A LONG ONE.
19	M-O-H-S-T-N-Z-A-D-E-G-A-N. JUST LIKE IT SOUNDS, RIGHT?
20	THE COURT: JUST LIKE IT SOUNDS. EXACTLY. THANK
21	YOU.
22	AND THEN VICKY TURNER.
23	MS. TURNER: YES, YOUR HONOR.
24	THE COURT: THANK YOU.
25	MICHAEL JOHNSON.

1	MR. JOHNSON: YES, YOUR HONOR.		
2	THE COURT: TOR HOERMAN.		
3	MR. HOERMAN: HERE, JUDGE.		
4	THE COURT: THANK YOU.		
5	JACOB PLATTENBERGER.		
6	MR. PLATTENBERGER: HERE, YOUR HONOR.		
7	THE COURT: MR. BERG IS PRESENT AND IN THE COURTROOM		
8	TODAY. SO GOOD MORNING TO YOU, MR. BERG.		
9	MR. BERG: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.		
10	THE COURT: GAYLE BLATT.		
11	MS. BLATT: HERE, YOUR HONOR. THANK YOU.		
12	THE COURT: SHAYNA SACKS.		
13	MS. SACKS: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR.		
14	THE COURT: DOUG MARVIN.		
15	MR. MARVIN: YES, YOUR HONOR.		
16	THE COURT: PAUL BOEHM.		
17	MR. BOEHM: YES, YOUR HONOR.		
18	THE COURT: THOMAS HAKLAR.		
19	MR. HAKLAR: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR.		
20	THE COURT: NEAL MOSKOW.		
21	MR. MOSKOW: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR.		
22	THE COURT: GOOD MORNING.		
23	NICHOLAS DRAKULICH.		
24	MR. DRAKULICH: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR.		
25	THE COURT: CHRIS YOUNG.		

1	MR. YOUNG: PRESENT, YOUR HONOR. GOOD MORNING.		
2	THE COURT: GOOD MORNING.		
3	LOREN BROWN.		
4	MR. BROWN: HERE, YOUR HONOR.		
5	THE COURT: RAMON WILLIAMS.		
6	MR. WILLIAMS: PRESENT, YOUR HONOR. GOOD MORNING.		
7	THE COURT: GOOD MORNING.		
8	HEIDI LEVINE.		
9	MS. LEVINE: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR.		
10	THE COURT: LERAE HANCOCK.		
11	MS. HANCOCK: YES, YOUR HONOR.		
12	THE COURT: THANK YOU.		
13	ROBERT MOSIER.		
14	HOW ABOUT CATHERINE HEACOX?		
15	MARY LIU.		
16	MS. LIU: HERE, YOUR HONOR. I ALSO WANTED TO LET YOU		
17	KNOW THAT I AM COVERING FOR NEIL OVERHOLTZ, WHO IS IN ANOTHER		
18	HEARING AT THE MOMENT.		
19	THE COURT: SO YOU ARE HERE FOR MR. OVERHOLTZ. THANK		
20	YOU. WE'LL NOTE THAT.		
21	HUNTER SHKOLNICK.		
22	MR. SHKOLNICK: HERE, YOUR HONOR.		
23	THE COURT: MARC BERN.		
24	MR. BERN: I'M HERE, YOUR HONOR. GOOD MORNING.		
25	THE COURT: NINA GUSSACK.		

1	MS. GUSSACK: YES, YOUR HONOR.		
2	THE COURT: KENNETH KING.		
3	MR. KING: YES, YOUR HONOR.		
4	THE COURT: STEVE SWINTON.		
5	MR. SWINTON: YES, YOUR HONOR.		
6	THE COURT: THANK YOU.		
7	RICHARD GOETZ.		
8	MR. GOETZ: YES, YOUR HONOR.		
9	THE COURT: SCOTT LET'S SEE. I HAVE A COUPLE		
10	DUPLICATIONS HERE. SO SCOTT EDSON, WE ALREADY HAVE YOU.		
11	RYAN THOMPSON.		
12	MR. THOMPSON: HERE, YOUR HONOR.		
13	THE COURT: AND TRIPP SEGARS.		
14	MR. SEGARS: YES, YOUR HONOR.		
15	THE COURT: AND TJ PREUSS?		
16	MR. PREUSS: HERE, YOUR HONOR.		
17	THE COURT: OKAY. AND ANYONE THAT I MISSED?		
18	MS. ZAIC: YES, YOUR HONOR. JULIA REED ZAIC,		
19	Z-A-I-C.		
20	THE COURT: THANK YOU.		
21	AND WHO ELSE?		
22	MR. LEE: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. DAE LEE. D-A-E,		
23	L-E-E.		
24	THE COURT: THANK YOU. AND ANYONE ELSE?		
25	MR. RESTAINO: YOUR HONOR, JOHN RESTAINO.		

1	R-E-S-T-A-I-N-O.			
2	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU, SIR.			
3	AND ANYONE ELSE?			
4	MR. SHERMAN: YOUR HONOR, ANDRE SHERMAN.			
5	THE COURT: GOT YOU.			
6	WHO ELSE?			
7	MS. CROOKE: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. ELIZABETH			
8	CROOKE.			
9	THE COURT: SPELL YOUR LAST NAME, AGAIN?			
10	MS. CROOKE: C-R-O-O-K-E.			
11	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU. THE LINE IS A LITTLE			
12	FOGGY. THAT IS WHY I AM ASKING SOME OF YOU TO SPELL HERE.			
13	WHO ELSE?			
14	MR. WHITE: YES, YOUR HONOR. PARKER WHITE.			
15	THE COURT: OKAY. AND ANYONE ELSE?			
16	MR. BROWN: GOOD MORNING. TIM BROWN.			
17	THE COURT: OKAY. GOT YOU.			
18	ANYONE ELSE?			
19	MR. HARRIS: ANDREW HARRIS.			
20	THE COURT: I'M SORRY. SAY THAT AGAIN?			
21	MR. HARRIS: ANDREW HARRIS.			
22	THE COURT: THANK YOU, MR. HARRIS.			
23	AND ANYONE ELSE?			
24	MR. MCMASTER: YOUR HONOR, DAVID MCMASTER.			
25	THE COURT: WHAT WAS THE LAST NAME?			

	9
1	MR. MCMASTER: M-C-M-A-S-T-E-R.
2	THE COURT: THANK YOU, MR. MCMASTER.
3	ANYONE ELSE?
4	MR. WAECHTER: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. THIS IS
5	JOSEPH WAECHTER. W-A-E-C-H-T-E-R.
6	THE COURT: THANKS, MR. WAECHTER.
7	AND DID I MISS ANYONE?
8	OKAY. I WAS ABOUT TO WONDER IF ANYONE WAS PRACTICING
9	LAW THIS MORNING BESIDES THOSE ON THE PHONE HERE. WE HAVE THE
LO	WORLD AT LARGE HERE.
L1	AND ARE YOU HERE ON THE CASE, SIR?
L2	NOPE. YOU'RE JUST OBSERVING.
L3	ALL RIGHT. SO THANK YOU ALL FOR JOINING IN FOR THE
L4	PUBLIC SESSION OF THE STATUS OF THE INCRETIN-BASED THERAPIES
L5	PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION.
L 6	AS HAS BEEN THE FORMAT FOR THE PRIOR TWO STATUS
L7	CONFERENCES, I MET WITH THE PLAINTIFFS' STEERING COMMITTEE AND
18	LEAD DEFENSE COUNSEL, ALONG WITH THE REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE
L 9	JCCP AND JUDGE HIGHBERGER THIS MORNING SO WE COULD QUICKLY
20	DISCUSS THE STATUS QUO AND MAKE PLANS GOING FORWARD.
21	AND PRESENT IN THE INFORMAL CONFERENCE, JUST SO IT'S
22	REPORTED SOMEWHERE, WAS JUDGE HIGHBERGER, LOREN BROWN, MICHAEL
23	JOHNSON, TOR HOERMAN, DOUG MARVIN, PAUL BOEHM, NINA GUSSACK,

HUNTER SHKOLNICK, RYAN THOMPSON, RICHARD GOETZ, GAYLE BLATT, AND THEN BRIAN DEPEW FOR THE JCCP.

25

AND WAS THERE ANYBODY I MISSED THAT WAS PRESENT, THAT I OVERLOOKED HERE, AT THE INFORMAL SESSION? NO. OKAY. GOOD.

AS I REPORTED AT THE SESSION THIS MORNING, THE COURT HAS PUT A LINK ON THE PUBLIC WEBSITE FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, ON THE FACE PAGE, THAT WOULD TAKE A PERSON, COUNSEL, MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC, OR WHOMEVER, TO A SPECIAL PAGE DEVOTED TO THIS LITIGATION. THE WEBSITE IS

WWW.CASD.USCOURTS.GOV. AND THERE YOU WILL FIND THE PLEADINGS
FOR THE LAST 60 DAYS IN THE CASE SO THAT YOU CAN ACCESS THAT WITHOUT NEEDING A PACER ACCOUNT. YOU CAN ACCESS A FULL DOCKET DIRECTLY FROM THERE, WITH YOUR SIGN-IN INFORMATION.

AND WE'VE LISTED THE MASTER CONSOLIDATED COMPLAINT,

THE MASTER ANSWERS, AND THE LAST STATUS CONFERENCE ORDER, ALONG
WITH, AS MEMORY SERVES, THE PLAN FOR DIRECT FILING AND OTHER

MATTERS.

SO THAT SHOULD BE A RESOURCE FOR THE PUBLIC AND COUNSEL GOING FORWARD, FOR A QUICK STATUS ON WHAT'S HAPPENING.

AND I HAVE INVITED LEAD COUNSEL, THE PSC, AND DEFENSE REPRESENTATIVES, IF THEY HAVE SUGGESTIONS AS TO OTHER INFORMATION TO BETTER SERVE THE PUBLIC'S INTEREST, TO GO AHEAD AND MAKE THOSE SUGGESTIONS, AND WE'LL CERTAINLY CONSIDER ADDING THOSE TO THAT. BUT IT WILL BE A LIVING SITE THAT WE'LL CHANGE AS PROCEEDINGS GO FORWARD.

WE HAD A PRODUCTIVE SESSION, WHICH WAS MADE EVEN MORE PRODUCTIVE BY THE WORK OF COUNSEL IN THEIR COLLECTIVE DEALINGS

2.0

WITH MANY OF THE ISSUES, AND WITH THE PROMPT RESOLUTION OF THE DISCOVERY ISSUES BY JUDGE DEMBIN, WHO IS HERE IN THE COURTROOM WITH US, ALONG WITH HIS LAW CLERK. MY LAW CLERK AND STAFF ARE ALSO PRESENT.

IN REVIEW, WE DISCUSSED THE FACT THAT THE MASTER
PLEADINGS ARE IN PLACE NOW. AND THAT COUNSEL HAVE COMPLETED
NEGOTIATION, CONFERRING ON A PROPOSED ORDER THAT WILL ADDRESS
ISSUES REGARDING SERVICE BY E-MAIL AND THE FILING OF THE SHORT
FORM COMPLAINTS DIRECTLY INTO THE MDL.

THAT ORDER WILL BE SUBMITTED SOON. AND THEN UPON APPROVAL, WILL BE ENTERED INTO THE DOCKET OF THE COURT. AND IS THE TYPE OF ORDER THAT WILL LIKELY BE A SPECIAL ITEM ON THAT NEW WEBSITE WE CREATED FOR THE CASE FOR MANAGEMENT PURPOSES.

WE REVIEWED THE FACT THAT I HAVE GIVEN COUNSEL UNTIL FEBRUARY 10TH, AN EXTENSION OF 30 DAYS, TO SUBMIT A JOINT MOTION FOR THE ENTRY OF A CASE MANAGEMENT SCHEDULING ORDER, OR THEIR ALTERNATE FEELINGS TO THE EXTENT THAT THEY CAN'T AGREE, FOR RESOLUTION BY THE COURT.

AND IN THAT REGARD, WE'VE DISCUSSED SETTING A TENTATIVE STATUS CONFERENCE, AS WELL AS A FURTHER STATUS CONFERENCE, DEPENDING UPON THE ABILITY TO ADDRESS CASE MANAGEMENT SCHEDULING SOONER THAN LATER.

WHAT THAT MEANS IS ON FEBRUARY 7TH, THE MORNING FOLLOWING THE TWO-DAY SCIENCE-DAY PROGRAM, AT 9:00, I AM GOING TO SET A TENTATIVE STATUS CONFERENCE, NOTING THAT COUNSEL OR A

2.0

MAJORITY OF THE LEAD COUNSEL WILL CERTAINLY BE HERE IN THE DISTRICT. AND IF THE NEGOTIATIONS, THE CONFERRING AND THE PLANNING GETS TO THE POINT THAT COUNSEL CAN SUBMIT EITHER A JOINT MOTION OR THE RESPECTIVE POSITIONS IN ADVANCE OF THE 7TH, THAT WE CAN DEAL WITH THE SCHEDULING ISSUES WITHOUT THE NEED FOR A SEPARATE FOLLOW-UP DATE, WHICH WOULD ECONOMIZE ON THE TRAVEL OF COUNSEL AND RELATIVE TO THE CLIENTS' EXPENSES IN THE CASE.

TO THE EXTENT THAT THE PLANS, THEN, AND/OR PLEADINGS

ARE NOT READY TO BE ON FILE BEFORE FEBRUARY 7TH, THEY WILL

REMAIN DUE NO LATER THAN FEBRUARY 10TH, AND WE WILL HOLD A

TELEPHONIC CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE, STATUS CONFERENCE,

FEBRUARY 18TH AT 9:00 TO DEAL WITH ISSUES THEN.

SO IF THINGS ARE MOVING FAST, WE CAN PUT A SCHEDULE INTO PLACE BY FEBRUARY 7TH. IF THINGS TAKE A LITTLE MORE TIME, FEBRUARY 18TH WOULD BE NEXT DATE FOR A SCHEDULE TO BE FILED, AND FURTHER DISCUSSION AS TO SOME OF THE MOVING PARTS WILL BE UNDERTAKEN.

SCIENCE DAY IS ON FEBRUARY 5TH AND 6TH, 2014.

COUNSEL HAVE SUBMITTED AN AGENDA. IT IS DOCUMENT 258 IN THE

COURT DOCUMENT THAT COVERS THE TOPICS FOR THE TWO DAYS. AND

PEOPLE CAN REFER TO THAT ORDER TO SEE THE ORDER OF TOPICS.

COUNSEL ARE CONTINUING TO WORK ON THE SPECIFIC

SCHEDULE FOR THE DAY IN TERMS OF ALLOTMENT OF TIME PER SIDE FOR

EACH OF THE VARIOUS TOPICS THAT HAVE BEEN LAID OUT.

OUR PLAN IS TO CONVENE AT 9:00 ON THE 5TH AND GO
UNTIL 5:00 THAT DAY, WITH AN HOUR LUNCH BREAK AND MID-MORNING
AND MID-AFTERNOON BREAKS FOR THE CONVENIENCE OF ALL. AND TO
RESUME THAT PLAN FEBRUARY 6TH, 9:00, AND AGAIN GO UNTIL 5:00,
WITH THE SAME INTERIM SCHEDULE, TO COMPLETE THE SCIENCE
TUTORIAL.

AS I SAID IN THE PAST, IF FOR SOME REASON OR BECAUSE OF THE BREADTH OF THE INFORMATION WE CAN'T GET IT ALL IN, WE WILL INDEED SET FURTHER DAYS. AND CERTAINLY THIS IS NOT WITHOUT THE THOUGHT OF EVEN FURTHER SCIENCE DAYS IF OTHER DRUGS OR OTHER ISSUES COME INTO FOCUS THAT NEED TO BE ADDRESSED ON A BACKGROUND, EDUCATIONAL BASIS.

AND COUNSEL ARE WORKING AS TO WHAT EQUIPMENT THEY
WILL NEED AND WILL COORDINATE WITH THE COURT THROUGH A VENDOR
THAT MS. BLATT IS GOING TO BE DEALING WITH TO GET THE REQUISITE
EQUIPMENT IN FOR THE DEMONSTRATIONS, THE DISCUSSIONS THAT WILL
TAKE PLACE.

AND COUNSEL ARE GOING TO CONTINUE TO WORK ON A GLOSSARY OF TERMS THAT MIGHT HELP FACILITATE THE PROCESS OF SCIENCE DAY OR BE OF USE TO THE COURT AND THE COURT REPORTER AS WE ENTERTAIN SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES GOING FORWARD WITH THOSE SCIENTIFIC TERMS BANDIED ABOUT.

JUDGE HIGHBERGER, WOULD YOU LIKE TO REPORT ON WHAT WE DISCUSSED IN TERMS OF THE JCCP AND THE CURRENT SETTING OF A PANCREATITIS TRIAL IN FEBRUARY OF THIS YEAR?

1	JUDGE HIGHBERGER: CERTAINLY. FIRST, WITH THE
2	CONSENT OF COUNSEL IN THE COORDINATED PROCEEDING, A COPY OF THE
3	JOINT SUBMISSION ON THE FEDERAL DOCKET, ITEM NUMBER 258, THE
4	SUBMISSION REGARDING SCIENCE DAY, WILL BE FILED ON THE
5	COORDINATED PROCEEDING AND UPLOADED TO FILE AND SERVE EXPRESS
6	AS A FILE DOCUMENT.
7	THE TRIAL IS SCHEDULED IN THE PANCREATITIS CASE TO
8	START ON THE DAY AFTER PRESIDENT'S DAY, TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 18.
9	A NUMBER OF IN LIMINES WILL BE HEARD NEXT WEEK. SOME SMOKE
10	SIGNALS INDICATE A COMPROMISE MIGHT BREAK OUT, BUT THE
11	COMPLEXITIES OF WORKING COMPROMISES ARE SUCH THAT I AM
12	CURRENTLY ANTICIPATING BEING IN TRIAL AT THAT TIME.
13	AND, OF COURSE, IT'S NOT A CANCER CASE. AND I THINK
14	THAT IS ALL OH, ON THE POINT OF DISCOVERY COORDINATION,
15	MS. CROOKE AND MR. GOETZ CONCURRED THAT THEY ARE WORKING ON AN
16	OPEN ISSUE ABOUT ACCESS TO CERTAIN ANIMAL SLIDES. AND APART
17	FROM THAT, THERE IS NO ISSUES OF DISCOVERY WHERE THE FEDERAL
18	AND THE STATE COURT ACTIONS OVERLAP SUCH THAT THEY NEED
19	AFFIRMATIVE EFFORTS BY MYSELF TO COORDINATE WITH JUDGE DEMBIN
20	TO TRY TO HAVE HARMONIOUS AND LOGICAL DISCOVERY RULINGS.
21	THE COURT STANDS READY, OBVIOUSLY, TO DO THAT IN THE

THE COURT STANDS READY, OBVIOUSLY, TO DO THAT IN THE FUTURE AS TO WHEN THOSE KINDS OF PROBLEMS BECOME MANIFEST.

THE COURT: AND, JUDGE HIGHBERGER, YOU PLAN ON ATTENDING SCIENCE DAY.

23

24

25

JUDGE HIGHBERGER: DEFINITELY. BOTH DAYS, STARTING

AT 9:00 EACH DAY.

1.3

2.0

THE COURT: BUT IN TERMS OF FURTHER STATUS

CONFERENCES AT THIS POINT, DO YOU FEEL THAT YOUR TIME IS NOT

NECESSARY TO DEVOTE TO THOSE BUT YOU WILL BE IN TOUCH AND

COORDINATE WHEREVER ISSUES SEEM TO BE WORKING EITHER AT CROSS

PURPOSES, OR WE CAN OTHERWISE FACILITATE THE LITIGATION IN OUR

COURTS?

AND WE MAY HAVE TIME TO CHAT A BIT, OFF THE RECORD, WITH THE CONSENT OF COUNSEL, IN THE COURSE OF SCIENCE DAY, WITH YOURSELF AND JUDGE DEMBIN. PERHAPS CIRCUMSTANCES WILL CHANGE BY THE END OF DAY TWO. BUT, AT THE MOMENT, I THINK WE KNOW WHERE WE ARE IN TERMS OF REACHING OUT. AND WHEN THE LAWYERS TELL US THAT THE DISCOVERY THEY WANT FROM US IS AN EFFORT TO WHIPSAW THE TWO DIFFERENT COURTS, THEN WE'LL OBVIOUSLY HAVE TO BE BACK IN TOUCH. BUT NOBODY IS WHIPSAWING ANYBODY AT THE MOMENT.

THE COURT: THANK YOU FOR THAT UPDATE.

NOW, MS. CROOKE AND MR. DEPEW, AS THE JCCP
REPRESENTATIVES HERE ON THE PLAINTIFFS SIDE DO YOU WANT TO ADD
ANYTHING WITH REGARD TO ANY OF THESE ISSUES?

MS. CROOKE: NO, YOUR HONOR. THIS IS MS. CROOKE. I
THINK EVERYTHING THAT HAS BEEN SAID SO FAR IS EXACTLY AS WE
UNDERSTAND IT. THANK YOU.

THE COURT: VERY GOOD. MOVING ON, WE ALL DISCUSSED

THE FACT THAT THERE ARE AN INCREASING NUMBER OF THYROID CANCER

CASES THAT ARE PENDING NOW IN THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF

CALIFORNIA. I BELIEVE 35 IS THE APPROXIMATE NUMBER, 34 OF

WHICH HAVE BEEN ASSIGNED TO MY COURT AND TO JUDGE DEMBIN'S

COURT. AND COUNSEL FOR ELI LILLY AND AMYLIN ARE DISCUSSING

WITH PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL THE POTENTIALS FOR COORDINATION OR

CONSOLIDATION OF THE CASE ON A LOW-NUMBER BASIS, OR THE

POTENTIAL FILING OF A MOTION FOR ENTRY OF THOSE INTO THE MDL.

TO BE CLEAR, AT THIS POINT, THE MDL ONLY IS WITH REGARD TO THE PANCREATIC CANCER CASES, BUT COUNSEL ARE CONTINUING THEIR EFFORTS TO PUT THOSE THYROID CASES INTO A POSTURE THAT THEY CAN BE MANAGED, EITHER THROUGH THE MDL PROCESS OR THROUGH A CONSOLIDATION COORDINATION PROCESS. AND WE'LL CONTINUE TO MONITOR THAT PROGRESS.

AND, OF COURSE, THOSE CASES, AS WE GO FORWARD, THE ESTIMATE IS THAT THERE COULD BE HUNDREDS OF THOSE CASES, POTENTIALLY, AT SOME POINT. BUT FOR NOW, 35, ALL OF WHICH WERE FILED HERE IN THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT, EXIST, TO MY KNOWLEDGE.

WE ALSO DISCUSSED THE FACT THAT THERE HAVE BEEN TWO OR THREE CASES FILED IN THIS COURT, ASSIGNED TO ME, WITH REGARD TO THE DRUG ONGLYZER, O-N-G-L-Y-Z-E-R, THAT IS PRODUCED BY BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB AND ASTRAZENECA. AND THE ALLEGATIONS THERE ARE THAT THEY ARE INCRETIN-MIMETIC-TYPE MEDICATIONS AND HAVE ALLEGEDLY CAUSED PANCREATIC CANCER. AND DISCUSSION AND WORK WILL GO FORWARD TO LOOK AT JOINING THOSE, PERHAPS, OR OTHERWISE A PLAN TO MANAGE THOSE IN THIS COURT.

1	THERE MAY NOT BE AS MANY OF THOSE CASES, POTENTIALLY,
2	AS WITH THE CASES WITH THE FOUR DRUGS INVOLVED IN THE MDL, OR
3	THE DRUGS INVOLVED IN THE THYROID, BECAUSE ONGLYZER IS A NEWER
4	MEDICATION.
5	I WAS ADVISED, AND I WILL PROBABLY HEAR MORE ABOUT
6	THIS AT SCIENCE DAY, BUT THERE ARE SIX INGREDIENTS THE
7	PLAINTIFFS, I THINK, ASSERT TO THE VARIOUS DRUGS, THAT HAVE
8	SOME IMPACT UPON THE CLAIMED INJURIES. AND THOSE SIX
9	INGREDIENTS CAN BE IN AS MANY AS 14 DRUGS. SO THERE IS A
LO	POTENTIAL THAT OTHER DRUGS WILL COME FORWARD AND BE RELATED IN
11	SOME WAY. AND WE'LL DEAL WITH THOSE AS THAT HAPPENS.
L2	NOW, LOOKING THROUGH MY NOTES, I THINK I HAVE
L3	SUMMARIZED THE EXTENT TO WHICH WE'VE DISCUSSED THE CASE, THE
L4	ISSUES, THE MANAGEMENT, AND THE PROCEEDINGS GOING FORWARD.
L5	AND TO THE EXTENT THAT I HAVE MISSED SOMETHING,
L 6	ANYONE WANT TO ADD EITHER A REVIEW OF WHAT WE'VE DEALT WITH
L7	THIS MORNING OR BRING UP ANYTHING NEW?
18	A VOICE: YOUR HONOR, NOTHING FROM PLAINTIFFS'
L 9	COUNSEL.
20	THE COURT: AND FROM ANY OF THE DEFENSE GROUP?
21	A VOICE: NO, YOUR HONOR.
22	THE COURT: OKAY. AND, JUDGE HIGHBERGER, I DON'T
23	KNOW IF YOU HAVE LEFT YET.
24	JUDGE HIGHBERGER: OH, I'M HERE, BUT I DON'T HAVE

ANYTHING ELSE.

1	THE COURT: OKAY. SO THAT TELLS US WHERE WE'VE BEEN
2	AND WHERE WE'RE GOING. AND UNLESS THERE IS SOMETHING ELSE THAT
3	WE MIGHT CHARACTERIZE AS NEW BUSINESS OR OTHER BUSINESS AT THIS
4	POINT, WE'LL RECESS THE HEARING FOR TODAY. I WILL PUT OUT
5	ANOTHER SUMMARY ORDER LIKE I HAVE DONE IN THE PAST.
6	AND THE PLAN WILL BE THAT THE PSC AND THE DEFENSE
7	LEADERS, THE COURT, THE JUDGES WILL ALL CONVENE FEBRUARY 5TH AT
8	9:00 FOR SCIENCE DAY. AND THEN WE'LL FOLLOW UP AS I'VE
9	DICTATED IN TERMS OF WHAT WE'VE LAID OUT THIS MORNING:
LO	FEBRUARY 7TH AS A POTENTIAL TENTATIVE CASE MANAGEMENT, AND
1	FEBRUARY 18 AS THE FINAL, AS NEEDED.
L2	SO WITH THAT, JUDGE DEMBIN, ANYTHING YOU WOULD LIKE
L3	TO ADD, SIR?
L 4	JUDGE DEMBIN: THANK YOU, NO.
5	THE COURT: I THINK WE HAVE COVERED IT. SO WE'LL BE
L 6	IN RECESS TO RECONVENE FOR SCIENCE DAY IN ABOUT THREE WEEKS.
L7	THANK YOU, EVERYONE, FOR YOUR CONTINUED COOPERATION.
L8	WE ALL NEED TO WORK TOGETHER ON THIS BECAUSE IT'S A
L 9	BIG PROJECT, AND THE MORE WE CAN ECONOMIZE AND FACILITATE
20	THROUGH AGREEMENT, THE BETTER FOR ALL CONCERNED. SO THANK YOU
21	FOR YOUR CONTINUED EFFORTS, AND WE'LL RECESS THE CONFERENCE AT
22	THIS TIME.
23	SO GOOD DAY TO ALL. THANKS FOR COMING.
24	(PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED AT 10:28 A.M.)

1	
T	

CERTIFICATION

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I AM A DULY APPOINTED, QUALIFIED AND ACTING OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER FOR THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT; THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS HAD IN THE AFOREMENTIONED CAUSE ON JANUARY 16, 2014; THAT SAID TRANSCRIPT IS A TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPTION OF MY STENOGRAPHIC NOTES; AND THAT THE FORMAT USED HEREIN COMPLIES WITH THE RULES AND REQUIREMENTS OF THE UNITED STATES JUDICIAL CONFERENCE.

DATED: JANUARY 23, 2014, AT SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

JEANNETTE N. HILL, OFFICIAL REPORTER, CSR NO. 11148